

August 14, 2018

City of Coos Bay Planning Commission 500 Central Avenue Coos Bay, OR 97420

Re: Type IV – Development Code Amendments, File #187-ZON18-029

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is submitted jointly by Housing Land Advocates (HLA) and the Fair Housing Council of Oregon (FHCO). Both HLA and FHCO are non-profit organizations that advocate for land use policies and practices that ensure an adequate and appropriate supply of affordable housing for all Oregonians. FHCO's interests relate to a jurisdiction's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing. Please include these comments in the record for the above-referenced proposed amendment.

As you may know, all amendments to the Comprehensive Plan Map and Zoning map must comply with the Statewide Planning Goals. ORS 197.175(2)(a). When a decision is made affecting the residential land supply, the City must refer to its Housing Needs Analysis (HNA) and Buildable Land Inventory (BLI) to show that an adequate number of needed housing units (both housing type and affordability level) will be supported by the residential land supply after enactment of the proposed change.

The staff report for the proposed amendment states it is based on the statewide planning goals; however, it does not include findings for Statewide Goal 10. Goal 10 findings must demonstrate that the amendment's effects do not leave the City with less than adequate residential land supplies in the types, locations, and affordability ranges affected. *See Mulford v. Town of Lakeview*, 36 Or LUBA 715, 731 (1999) (rezoning residential land for industrial uses); *Gresham v. Fairview*, 3 Or LUBA 219 (same); see also, *Home Builders Assn. of Lane County v. City of Eugene*, 41 Or LUBA 370, 422 (2002) (subjecting Goal 10 inventories to tree and waterway protection zones of indefinite quantities and locations). Only with a complete analysis showing



any gain in needed housing as compared to the BLI can housing advocates and planners understand whether the County is achieving its goals through code amendments.

Further, the City should reconsider its requirement for a parking space for each ADU. The offstreet parking requirement is a disincentive for homeowners that are considering construction of an ADU. Moreover, the City has a high demand for affordable housing within its boundary and should not unnecessarily increase the costs to construct a new housing option.

As such, HLA and FHCO urge the Commission to defer adoption of the proposed amendment until Goal 10 findings include reference to the Buildable Land Inventory. Thank you for your consideration. Please provide written notice of your decision to, FHCO, c/o Louise Dix, at 1221 SW Yamhill Street, #305, Portland, OR 97205 and HLA, c/o Jennifer Bragar, at 121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 1850, Portland, OR 97204. Please feel free to email Louise Dix at ldix@fhco.org or reach her by phone at (541) 951-0667.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jouise Dije

Louise Dix AFFH Specialist Fair Housing Council of Oregon

cc: Kevin Young (kevin.young@state.or.us)

Jennifer Bragar President Housing Land Advocates