



January 9, 2018

City of Coos Bay Planning Commission
500 Central Ave
Coos Bay OR 97420

Re: File #187-ZON17-080 rezoning from low density residential to commercial

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is submitted jointly by Housing Land Advocates (HLA) and the Fair Housing Council of Oregon (FHCO). Both HLA and FHCO are non-profit organizations that advocate for land use policies and practices that ensure an adequate and appropriate supply of affordable housing for all Oregonians. FHCO's interests relate to a jurisdiction's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing. Please include these comments in the record for the above-referenced proposed amendment.

As you may know, all amendments to the Comprehensive Plan Map and Zoning map must comply with the Statewide Planning Goals. ORS 197.175(2)(a). However, the Goal 10 findings in the staff report for the proposed amendment are not adequate. The staff report asserts that the lot in question cannot be developed as a residential lot, but not being an ideal site for residential development is not a complete Goal 10 finding. No reference is made to the impact of the amendment in context of the City's Buildable Lands Inventory or Housing Needs Analysis.

When a decision is made affecting the residential land supply, the City must refer to its Housing Needs Analysis and Buildable Land Inventory (BLI) to show that an adequate number of needed housing units (both housing type and affordability level) will be supported by the residential land supply after enactment of the proposed change.

Even when a proposal increases the residential land supply, the City must show that it is adding needed residential zones. The City must demonstrate that its actions do not leave it with less than adequate residential land supplies in the types, locations, and affordability ranges affected. See *Mulford v. Town of Lakeview*, 36 Or LUBA 715, 731 (1999) (rezoning residential land for



industrial uses); *Gresham v. Fairview*, 3 Or LUBA 219 (same); see also, *Home Builders Assn. of Lane County v. City of Eugene*, 41 Or LUBA 370, 422 (2002) (subjecting Goal 10 inventories to tree and waterway protection zones of indefinite quantities and locations). Only with a complete analysis showing any gain in needed housing as compared to the BLI can housing advocates and planners understand whether the City is achieving its goals through code amendments.

HLA and FHCO urge the Commission to defer adoption of the proposed amendment until adequate Goal 10 findings can be made. Thank you for your consideration. Please provide written notice of your decision to, FHCO, c/o Louise Dix, at 1221 SW Yamhill Street, #305, Portland, OR 97205 and HLA, c/o Jennifer Bragar, at 121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 1850, Portland, OR 97204. Please feel free to email Louise Dix at ldix@fhco.org or reach her by phone at (541) 951-0667.

Thank you for your consideration.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Louise Dix". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Louise Dix
AFFH Specialist
Fair Housing Council of Oregon

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jennifer Bragar". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Jennifer Bragar
President
Housing Land Advocates

cc: Gordon Howard (gordon.howard@state.or.us)