

September 9, 2019

City of Eagle Point Planning Commission 17 Buchanan Avenue South Eagle Point, OR 97524

Re: Public hearing to accept testimony and make a recommendation to the City Council on proposed annexation and assignment of zoning for the property at 92 Hidden Valley Drive, Tax Assessors Map 361W10BC, Lot 500.

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is submitted jointly by Housing Land Advocates (HLA) and the Fair Housing Council of Oregon (FHCO). Both HLA and FHCO are non-profit organizations that advocate for land use policies and practices that ensure an adequate and appropriate supply of affordable housing for all Oregonians. FHCO's interests relate to a jurisdiction's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing. Please include these comments in the record for the above-referenced proposed amendment.

As you know, all amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan and Zoning map must comply with the Statewide Planning Goals. ORS 197.175(2)(a). When a decision is made affecting the residential land supply, the City must refer to its Housing Needs Analysis (HNA) and Buildable Land Inventory (BLI) in order to show that an adequate number of needed housing units (both housing type and affordability level) will be supported by the residential land supply after enactment of the proposed change. <u>Goal 10 findings are required even if the City is making</u> changes in accordance with their acknowledged Comprehensive Plan.

The staff report for the proposed annexation and corresponding Single-Family Residential zoning recommends its approval. However, the staff report does not include findings for Statewide Goal 10, describing the effects of the annexation and zoning on the housing supply within the City. While the applicant suggests that they will develop the property in the future, the changes cannot be quantified because the applicant has not specified the future use or density of

1



the site. Goal 10 findings must demonstrate that the changes do not leave the City with less than adequate residential land supplies in the types, locations, and affordability ranges affected. *See Mulford v. Town of Lakeview*, 36 Or LUBA 715, 731 (1999) (rezoning residential land for industrial uses); *Gresham v. Fairview*, 3 Or LUBA 219 (same); see also, *Home Builders Assn. of Lane Cty. v. City of Eugene*, 41 Or LUBA 370, 422 (2002) (subjecting Goal 10 inventories to tree and waterway protection zones of indefinite quantities and locations). Further, since this annexation has the potential to add housing to the City, the report should reference how these changes will affect needed housing as dictated by the City's HNA. Only with a complete analysis showing any gain in needed housing as dictated by the HNA and compared to the BLI, can housing advocates and planners understand whether the City is achieving its goals through the annexation and corresponding zoning of 92 Hidden Valley Drive.

HLA and FHCO urge the Commission to defer approval of the proposed annexation and zoning until Goal 10 findings can be made, and the proposal evaluated under the HNA and BLI. Thank you for your consideration. Please provide written notice of your decision to, FHCO, c/o Louise Dix, at 1221 SW Yamhill Street, #305, Portland, OR 97205 and HLA, c/o Jennifer Bragar, at 121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 1850, Portland, OR 97204. Please feel free to email Louise Dix at ldix@fhco.org or reach her by phone at (541) 951-0667.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jouise Dije

Louise Dix AFFH Specialist Fair Housing Council of Oregon

cc: Kevin Young (kevin.young@state.or.us)

2 Bruga

Jennifer Bragar President Housing Land Advocates