



September 9, 2019

City of Hillsboro Planning Commission  
150 E. Main Street  
Hillsboro, Oregon 97123

**Re: Request for Consolidated Planned Unit Development and Development Review  
Application for 66-unit Multi-Family Apartment Complex (Case File No. PUD-002-19 Q2  
Apartments)**

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is submitted jointly by Housing Land Advocates (HLA) and the Fair Housing Council of Oregon (FHCO). Both HLA and FHCO are non-profit organizations that advocate for land use policies and practices that ensure an adequate and appropriate supply of affordable housing for all Oregonians. FHCO's interests relate to a jurisdiction's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing. Please include these comments in the record for the above-referenced proposed amendment.

As you know, all amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan and Zoning map must comply with the Statewide Planning Goals. ORS 197.175(2)(a). When a decision is made affecting the residential land supply, the City must refer to its Housing Needs Analysis (HNA) and Buildable Land Inventory (BLI) in order to show that an adequate number of needed housing units (both housing type and affordability level) will be supported by the residential land supply after enactment of the proposed change.

The staff report for the proposed Consolidated Planned Unit Development (PUD) and Development Review recommend to open the public hearing and continue to a date certain, October 9, 2019. Nonetheless, the staff report does not include findings for Statewide Goal 10, describing the effects of proposed PUD and development review on the housing supply within the City. Goal 10 findings must demonstrate that the changes do not leave the City with less than adequate residential land supplies in the types, locations, and affordability ranges



affected. *See Mulford v. Town of Lakeview*, 36 Or LUBA 715, 731 (1999) (rezoning residential land for industrial uses); *Gresham v. Fairview*, 3 Or LUBA 219 (same); see also, *Home Builders Assn. of Lane Cty. v. City of Eugene*, 41 Or LUBA 370, 422 (2002) (subjecting Goal 10 inventories to tree and waterway protection zones of indefinite quantities and locations). Further, the report should reference how these changes will affect needed housing as dictated by the City's HNA. While the staff report references a gain of 66 apartments, a complete analysis is needed showing a gain in needed housing as dictated by the HNA and compared to the BLI. Only with a complete analysis, utilizing both the HNA and BLI, can housing advocates and planners understand whether the City is achieving its goals through this PUD and development review.

HLA and FHCO urge the Commission to defer approval of the proposed PUD and development review until Goal 10 findings can be made, and the proposal evaluated under the HNA and BLI. Thank you for your consideration. Please provide written notice of your decision to, FHCO, c/o Louise Dix, at 1221 SW Yamhill Street, #305, Portland, OR 97205 and HLA, c/o Jennifer Bragar, at 121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 1850, Portland, OR 97204. Please feel free to email Louise Dix at [ldix@fhco.org](mailto:ldix@fhco.org) or reach her by phone at (541) 951-0667.

Thank you for your consideration.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Louise Dix".

Louise Dix  
AFFH Specialist  
Fair Housing Council of Oregon

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jennifer Bragar".

Jennifer Bragar  
President  
Housing Land Advocates

cc: Kevin Young ([kevin.young@state.or.us](mailto:kevin.young@state.or.us))