



September 9, 2019

City of Scappoose Planning Commission
52610 NE 1st Street
Suite 120
Scappoose, OR 97056

Re: Recommendation to the City Council regarding the Planning Commission's consideration of the proposed Scappoose Urban Renewal Plan and its conformance with the applicable Comprehensive Plan policies and goals.

Dear Commissioners:

This letter is submitted jointly by Housing Land Advocates (HLA) and the Fair Housing Council of Oregon (FHCO). Both HLA and FHCO are non-profit organizations that advocate for land use policies and practices that ensure an adequate and appropriate supply of affordable housing for all Oregonians. FHCO's interests relate to a jurisdiction's obligation to affirmatively further fair housing. Please include these comments in the record for the above-referenced proposed amendment.

As you know, all amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan and Zoning map must comply with the Statewide Planning Goals. ORS 197.175(2)(a). When a decision is made affecting the residential land supply, the City must refer to its Housing Needs Analysis (HNA) and Buildable Land Inventory (BLI) in order to show that an adequate number of needed housing units (both housing type and affordability level) will be supported by the residential land supply after enactment of the proposed change.

The staff report for the Scappoose Urban Renewal Plan (Plan) recommends that the Planning Commission recommend adoption of the Plan by the City Council. However, the staff report does not include findings for Statewide Goal 10, describing the effects of the Plan on the housing supply within the City. It is stated within the report that the new plan will "encourage the development of new housing in the Area," however these findings are vague and unquantified. Adequate Goal 10 findings must demonstrate that the changes do not leave the City with less



than adequate residential land supplies in the types, locations, and affordability ranges affected. *See Mulford v. Town of Lakeview*, 36 Or LUBA 715, 731 (1999) (rezoning residential land for industrial uses); *Gresham v. Fairview*, 3 Or LUBA 219 (same); see also, *Home Builders Assn. of Lane Cty. v. City of Eugene*, 41 Or LUBA 370, 422 (2002) (subjecting Goal 10 inventories to tree and waterway protection zones of indefinite quantities and locations). Further, since the Plan was created to encourage new development, the report should reference how the Plan will affect needed housing as dictated by the City's HNA. Only with a complete analysis showing any gain/loss in needed housing as dictated by the HNA and compared to the BLI, can housing advocates and planners understand whether the City is achieving its goals through the Scappoose Urban Renewal Plan.

HLA and FHCO urge the Commission to defer approval of the proposed Scappoose Urban Renewal Plan until Goal 10 findings can be made, and the proposal evaluated under the HNA and BLI. Thank you for your consideration. Please provide written notice of your decision to, FHCO, c/o Louise Dix, at 1221 SW Yamhill Street, #305, Portland, OR 97205 and HLA, c/o Jennifer Bragar, at 121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 1850, Portland, OR 97204. Please feel free to email Louise Dix at ldix@fhco.org or reach her by phone at (541) 951-0667.

Thank you for your consideration.

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Louise Dix".

Louise Dix
AFFH Specialist
Fair Housing Council of Oregon

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Jennifer Bragar".

Jennifer Bragar
President
Housing Land Advocates

cc: Kevin Young (kevin.young@state.or.us)